UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,064 03/11/2004		Susanne Arney	10-18-4	5680
Michael J. Urba	7590 08/26/201 ano	EXAMINER		
1445 Princeton			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
Bethlehem, PA	10017-9100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/798,064	ARNEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian E. Pellegrino	3738

After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
_	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	errespondence add	dress				
The reply filed <u>14 June 2010</u> is acknowledged.							
. X The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:							
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).							
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).							
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.							
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).							
3. ☐ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status o	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.					
4. ☐ Other:							
Note that claim 7 (prior to the amendment) stated the "control devicecapable of releasing said agent or drug". The amendment to add intervening claims (i.e. claim 7) to claim 8, does not recite that the control device "controls" the drug, but has been changed to "varying said hydrophobicity" and thus the scope has been changed. This is impermissible because it does not simplify issues.							
	/Brian E Pellegrino/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3	738					